arXiv:1510.05916v1 [math.DG] 13 Oct 2015

CURVATURE PROPERTIES OF NORMAL COMPLEX CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

AYSEL TURGUT VANLI AND İNAN ÜNAL

Abstract. In this paper, we study normal complex contact metric manifolds and we get some general results on them. Moreover, we obtained the general expression of the curvature tensor field for arbitrary vector fields. Furthermore, we show that the necessary and sufficient conditions to be normal a complex contact metric manifold. Also, we give some new equailities for Ricci curvature of normal complex contact metric manifolds.

1. Introduction

The study of complex contact manifolds began with Kobayashi [18] and Boothby [7], [8] in the late 1950's and early 1960's. In 1965, Wolf studied homogeneous complex contact manifolds [21]. Further research started again in the early 1980's by Ishihara and Konishi [15], [16] and [17]. They introduced a concept of normality in [16] but their normality condition seems too strong and did not include natural examples like the complex Heisenberg group. In 1996, Foreman investigate special metrics on complex contact manifolds by studying critical condition of various Riemanian functionals on particular classes of Riemanian metrics called the associated metrics [11]. He studied on classification of three-dimensional complex homogeneous complex contact manifolds, strict normal complex contact manifolds and the Boothby-Wang Fibration on complex contact manifolds [12], [13] , [14]. Then Korkmaz define a weaker version of normality in [19] and give the theorem which states the necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors, for a complex contact metric manifold to be normal. Korkmaz also defined the $\mathcal{GH}-$sectional curvature of normal complex contact metric manifolds [19]. Blair and present author studied energy and corrected energy of vertical distribution for normal complex contact metric manifolds in [20], [6]. Fetcu studied an adapted connection on a strict complex contact manifolds and harmonic maps between complex Sasakian manifolds in [9], [10].

Blair and Molina show that normal complex contact metric manifolds that are Bochner flat must have constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 and be Kähler [3], and they showed that it is not possible for normal complex contact metric manifolds to be conformally flat. In 2012, Blair and Mihai prove that a complex $(\kappa,\mu)-$space with $\kappa<1$ is a locally homogeneous complex contact metric manifold and they studied on locally symmetric condition of normal complex contact metric

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. normality, normal complex contact metric manifold, Ricci curvature, curvature.

manifolds [4], [5]. For a general discussion in complex contact geometry we refer to the reader to [2].

In Section 2, we give the necessary definitions and some basic facts about complex contact metric manifolds and also we give some curvature properties of normal complex contact metric manifolds. In Section 3, we get some general results on normal complex contact metric manifolds. Then in Section 4, we obtained the general expression of the curvature tensor field for arbitrary vector fields. Furthermore, we give a new theorem for normality. The theorem states the necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors G and H, for a complex contact metric manifold to be normal. In Section 5, we discuss some results on Ricci curvature of a normal complex contact metric manifold.

2. **Preliminaries**

A *complex contact manifold* is a complex manifold of odd complex dimension $2n+1$ together with an open covering $\{\mathcal{U}\}$ by coordinate neighborhoods such that

(1) On each $\mathcal{U}$, there is a holomorphic 1-form θ with $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n \neq 0$.
(2) On $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}' \neq \emptyset$ there is a non-vanishing holomorphic function f such that $\theta' = f\theta$.

The complex contact structure determines a non-integrable distribution $\mathcal{H}$ by the equation $\theta = 0$. A complex contact structure is given by a global 1-form if and only if its first Chern class vanishes [7].

Let M be a Hermitian manifold with almost complex structure J, Hermitian metric g and open covering by coordinate neighborhoods $\{\mathcal{U}\}$; M is called a *complex almost contact metric manifold* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) In each $\mathcal{U}$ there exist 1-forms u and $v = u \circ J$, with dual vector fields U and $V = -JU$ and $(1,1)$ tensor fields G and $H = GJ$ such that

$$H^2 = G^2 = -I + u \otimes U + v \otimes V$$

$$GJ = -JG, \quad GU = 0, \quad g(X, GY) = -g(GX, Y).$$

(2) On $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}' \neq \emptyset$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u' &= au - bv, \quad v' = bu + av, \\ G' &= aG - bH, \quad H' = bG + aH \end{aligned}$$

where a and b are functions on $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}'$ with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$.

Since u and v are dual to the vector fields U and V, we easily see from the second condition that on $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}'$, $U' = aU - bV$ and $V' = bU + aV$. Also since $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, $U' \wedge V' = U \wedge V$. Thus U and V determine a global vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}$ by $\xi = U \wedge V$ which is typically assumed to be integrable.

A result of this definition, on a complex almost contact metric manifold M, the following identities hold;

$$\begin{aligned} HG &= -GH = J + u \otimes V - v \otimes U \\ JH &= -HJ = G \\ g(HX, Y) &= -g(X, HY) \\ GU &= HU = HV = 0 \\ uG &= vG = uH = vH = 0 \\ JV &= U, \ g(U, V) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

A complex contact manifold admits a complex almost contact metric structure for which the local contact form θ is $u-iv$ to within a non-vanishing complex-valued function multiple and the local fields G and H are related to du and dv by

$$\begin{aligned} du(X,Y) &= g(X,GY)+(\sigma\wedge v)(X,Y), \\ dv(X,Y) &= g(X,HY)-(\sigma\wedge u)(X,Y) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(X)=g(\nabla_X U,V)$, ∇ being the Levi-Civita connection of g ([17], [11]).We refer to a complex contact manifold with a complex almost contact metric structure satisfying these conditions as a *complex contact metric manifold*.

On a complex contact metric manifold [19]

$$\nabla_U G=\sigma(U)H \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_V H=-\sigma(V)G. \tag{2.1}$$

Ishihara and Konishi [15], [16] introduced a notion of normality for complex contact structures. Their notion is the vanishing of the two tensor fields S and T given by

$$\begin{aligned} S(X,Y) &= [G,G](X,Y)+2g(X,GY)U-2g(X,HY)V \\ &\quad +2(v(Y)HX-v(X)HY)+\sigma(GY)HX \\ &\quad -\sigma(GX)HY+\sigma(X)GHY-\sigma(Y)GHX, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(X,Y) &= [H,H](X,Y)-2g(X,GY)U+2g(X,HY)V \\ &\quad +2(u(Y)GX-u(X)GY)+\sigma(HX)GY \\ &\quad -\sigma(HY)GX+\sigma(X)GHY-\sigma(Y)GHX. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We recall that

$$[G,G](X,Y)=(\nabla_{GX}G)Y-(\nabla_{GY}G)X-G(\nabla_X G)Y+G(\nabla_Y G)X$$

is the Nijenhuis torsion of G.

Korkmaz [19] give somewhat weaker definition; a complex contact metric manifold is normal if

$S(X,Y)=T(X,Y)=0$ for all X,Y in $\mathcal{H}$, and
$S(X,U)=T(X,V)=0$ for all X.

It was also proved in [19] that;

Proposition 1. *Let M be a complex contact metric manifold. Then M is normal if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X G)Y,Z) &= \sigma(X)g(HY,Z)+v(X)d\sigma(GZ,GY) \\ &\quad -2v(X)g(HGY,Z)-u(Y)g(X,Z) \\ &\quad -v(Y)g(JX,Z)+u(Z)g(X,Y) \\ &\quad +v(Z)g(JX,Y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X H)Y,Z) &= -\sigma(X)g(GY,Z)-u(X)d\sigma(HZ,HY) \\ &\quad -2u(X)g(HGY,Z)+u(Y)g(JX,Z) \\ &\quad -v(Y)g(X,Z)-u(Z)g(JX,Y) \\ &\quad +v(Z)g(X,Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

As a result of this proposition, on a normal complex contact metric manifold, the covariant derivative of J satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X J)Y,Z) &= u(X)(d\sigma(Z,GY)-2g(HY,Z)) \\ &\quad +v(X)(d\sigma(Z,HY)+2g(GY,Z)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Also in [19] on a normal complex contact metric manifold we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X U &= -GX+\sigma(X)V, \\ \nabla_X V &= -HX-\sigma(X)U, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_U U &= \sigma(U)V,\ \nabla_U V=-\sigma(U)U \\ \nabla_V U &= \sigma(V)V,\ \nabla_V V=-\sigma(V)U, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma(GX,GY) &= d\sigma(HX,HY) \\ &= d\sigma(Y,X)-2u\wedge v(Y,X)d\sigma(U,V), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma(U,X) &= v(X)d\sigma(U,V), \\ d\sigma(V,X) &= -u(X)d\sigma(U,V). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Our convention for the curvature is

$$R(X,Y)Z=\bigtriangledown_X\bigtriangledown_Y Z-\bigtriangledown_Y\bigtriangledown_X Z-\bigtriangledown_{[X,Y]}Z$$

$$R(X,Y,Z,W)=g(R(X,Y)Z,W).$$

For a general discussion of complex contact manifolds we refer to ([2],Chapter 12). We will also need the basic curvature properties of normal complex contact metric manifolds which we list here [19]. First of all for U and $V=-JU$ vertical vector fields we have

$$R(U,V,V,U)=R(V,U,U,V)=-2d\sigma(U,V) \tag{2.11}$$

For X and Y horizontal vector fields we have the following

$$R(X,U)U=X,\ R(X,V)V=X \tag{2.12}$$

$$R(X,Y)U=2(g(X,JY)+d\sigma(X,Y))V \tag{2.13}$$

$$R(X,Y)V=-2(g(X,JY)+d\sigma(X,Y))U \tag{2.14}$$

$$R(X,U)V=\sigma(U)GX+(\bigtriangledown_U H)X-JX \tag{2.15}$$

$$R(X,V)U=-\sigma(V)HX+(\nabla_V G)X+JX \tag{2.16}$$

$$R(X,U)Y=-g(X,Y)U-g(JX,Y)V+d\sigma(Y,X)V, \tag{2.17}$$

$$R(X,V)Y=-g(X,Y)V+g(JX,Y)U-d\sigma(Y,X)U \tag{2.18}$$

$$R(U,V)X=JX\ . \tag{2.19}$$

In [19], we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(GX,GY)GZ,GW) &= g(R(X,Y)Z,W) \\ &\quad -2g(JZ,W)d\sigma(X,Y) \\ &\quad +2g(HX,Y)d\sigma(GZ,W) \\ &\quad +2g(JX,Y)d\sigma(Z,W) \\ &\quad -2g(HZ,W)d\sigma(GX,Y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(HX,HY)HZ,HW) &= g(R(X,Y)Z,W) \\ &\quad -2g(JZ,W)d\sigma(X,Y) \\ &\quad -2g(GX,Y)d\sigma(HZ,W) \\ &\quad +2g(JX,Y)d\sigma(Z,W) \\ &\quad +2g(GZ,W)d\sigma(HX,Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

On the other hand , in [11] we get

$$d\sigma(X,Y) = 2g(JX,Y) + g((\bigtriangledown_U J)GX,Y). \tag{2.22}$$

3. **Some General Results On Normal Complex Contact Metric Manifolds**

Theorem 1. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold and X,Y arbitrary vector fields on M. Then we have*

$$(\bigtriangledown_X u)\,Y = g(X,GY) + \sigma\,(X)\,v\,(Y)$$
$$(\bigtriangledown_X v)\,Y = g(X,GY) - \sigma\,(X)\,u\,(Y)$$

Proof. For X vector field, $1-$forms u and v we get

$$(\bigtriangledown_X u)\,Y = \bigtriangledown_X g(U,Y) - g\,(U,\bigtriangledown_X Y) = g\,(\bigtriangledown_X U,Y)$$

and

$$(\bigtriangledown_X v)\,Y = \bigtriangledown_X g(V,Y) - g\,(V,\bigtriangledown_X Y) = g\,(\bigtriangledown_X V,Y)$$

by using (2.7)

$$(\bigtriangledown_X u)\,Y = g(-GX + \sigma(X)V,Y) \text{ and } (\bigtriangledown_X v)\,Y = g\,(-HX - \sigma(X)U,Y)$$

so the proof is completed. □

Corollary 1. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} g\,((\bigtriangledown_U G)\,X_0,V) &= 0\ ,\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_U H)\,X_0,V) = 0 \\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_U G)\,X_0,U) &= 0\ \ ,\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_U H)\,X_0,U) = 0 \\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_V G)\,X_0,U) &= 0\ ,\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_V H)\,X_0,U) = \ 0 \\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_V G)\,X_0,V) &= 0\ ,\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_V H)\,X_0,V) = \ 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g\,((\bigtriangledown_U J)\,X_0,V) &= 0\ ,\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_U J)\,X_0,U) = 0 \\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_V J)\,X_0,U) &= 0\ ,\ g\,((\bigtriangledown_V J)\,X_0,V) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $X_0 \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. For $X_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and from (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) we have (3.1) and (3.2). □

Theorem 2. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold. Then, we have*

$$(\nabla_U G)X_0 = (\nabla_U G)X \ , (\nabla_V G)X_0 = (\nabla_V G)X, \tag{3.3}$$

$$(\nabla_U H)X_0 = (\nabla_U H)X \ , (\nabla_V H)X_0 = (\nabla_V H)X, \tag{3.4}$$

$$(\nabla_U J)X_0 = (\nabla_U J)X \ , (\nabla_V J)X_0 = (\nabla_V J)X, \tag{3.5}$$

$$g((\bigtriangledown_U G)X, Y) = g((\bigtriangledown_U G)X_0, Y_0) = \sigma(U)g(HX_0, Y_0), \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\bigtriangledown_V G)X, Y) &= g((\bigtriangledown_V G)X_0, Y_0) \\ &= \sigma(V)g(HX_0, Y_0) + d\sigma(Y_0, X_0) - 2g(JX_0, Y_0), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$g((\bigtriangledown_V H)X, Y) = g((\bigtriangledown_V H)X_0, Y_0) = -\sigma(V)g(GX_0, Y_0) \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\bigtriangledown_U H)X, Y) &= g((\bigtriangledown_U H)X_0, Y_0) \\ &= -\sigma(U)g(GX_0, Y_0) \\ &\quad -d\sigma(Y_0, X_0) + 2g(JX_0, Y_0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_U J)GX, Y) &= g((\nabla_U J)GX_0, Y_0) \\ &= -d\sigma(Y_0, X_0) - 2g(JX_0, Y_0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_V J)GX, Y) &= g((\nabla_V J)GX_0, Y_0) \\ &= d\sigma(Y_0, GX_0) - 2g(HX_0, Y_0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V$, $Y = Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$ and X_0 ,$Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. For $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_U G)X &= \nabla_U GX_0 - G(\nabla_U X_0 + \nabla_U u(X)U + \nabla_U v(X)V) \\ &= (\nabla_U G)X_0 - G(U[u(X)]U + u(X)\nabla_U U \\ &\quad + U[v(X)]V + v(X)\nabla_U V) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_U H)X &= \nabla_U HX - H(\nabla_U X) \\ &= \nabla_U HX_0 - H(\nabla_U X_0 + \nabla_U u(X)U + \nabla_U v(X)V) \\ &= (\nabla_U H)X_0 - H(U[u(X)]U + u(X)\nabla_U U \\ &\quad + U[v(X)]V + v(X)\nabla_U V) \end{aligned}$$

since $GU = GV = HU = HV = 0$ and from (2.8) we have $(\nabla_U G)X_0 = (\nabla_U G)X$ and $(\nabla_U H)X_0 = (\nabla_U H)X$. By similar way we can proof the others, so we get (3.3) and (3.4) . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_U J)X &= \nabla_U (JX_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V) - J(\nabla_U X_0 \\ &\quad + \nabla_U u(X)U + \nabla_U v(X)V) \\ &= (\nabla_U J)X_0 + U[u(X)]U + u(X)\nabla_U U \\ &\quad + U[v(X)]V + v(X)\nabla_U V) - J(U[u(X)]U \\ &\quad + u(X)\nabla_U U + U[v(X)]V + v(X)\nabla_U V) \end{aligned}$$

from (2.8) and since $V = -JU, U = JV$ we obtain $(\nabla_U J)\, X = (\nabla_U J)\, X_0$. Similarly we can proof $(\nabla_V J)\, X = (\nabla_V J)\, X_0$, so we get (3.5). In addition for $Y = Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$ from (2.4), (3.1) and (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_U G)X, Y) &= g((\nabla_U G)X_0, Y_0) = \sigma(U)g(HX_0, Y_0) \\ &\quad +v(U)d\sigma(GY_0, GX_0) - 2v(U)g(HGX_0, Y_0) \\ &\quad -u(X_0)g(U, Y_0) - v(X_0)g(JU, Y_0) + u(Y_0)g(U, X_0) \\ &\quad +v(Y_0)g(JU, X_0) \\ &= \sigma(U)g(HX_0, Y_0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_V G)X, Y) &= g((\nabla_V G)X_0, Y_0) = \sigma(V)g(HX_0, Y_0) \\ &\quad +v(V)d\sigma(GY_0, GX_0) - 2v(V)g(HGX_0, Y_0) \\ &\quad -u(X_0)g(V, Y_0) - v(X_0)g(JV, Y_0) + u(Y_0)g(V, X_0) \\ &\quad +v(Y_0)g(JV, X_0) \\ &= \sigma(V)g(HX_0, Y_0) + d\sigma(Y_0, X_0) - g(JX_0, Y_0) \end{aligned}$$

so we get (3.6) and (3.7). By the same way from (2.5), (3.1) and (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_V H)X, Y) &= g((\nabla_V H)X_0, Y_0) = -\sigma(V)g(GX_0, Y_0) - u(V)d\sigma(HY_0, HX_0) \\ &\quad -2u(V)g(HGX_0, Y_0) + u(X_0)g(JV, Y_0) \\ &\quad -v(X_0)g(V, Y_0) - u(Y_0)g(JV, X_0) \\ &\quad +v(Y_0)g(V, X_0) \\ &= -\sigma(V)g(GX_0, Y_0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_U H)X, Y) &= g((\nabla_U H)X_0, Y_0) = -\sigma(U)g(GX_0, Y_0) - u(U)d\sigma(HY_0, HX_0) \\ &\quad -2u(U)g(HGX_0, Y_0) - u(X_0)g(JU, Y_0) \\ &\quad -v(X_0)g(U, Y_0) - u(Y_0)g(JU, X_0) \\ &\quad +v(Y_0)g(U, X_0) \\ &= -\sigma(U)g(GX_0, Y_0) - d\sigma(Y_0, X_0) + 2g(JX_0, Y_0) \end{aligned}$$

so we get (3.8) and (3.9) . Finally from (2.6) , (3.2) and (3.5) we get (3.10) and (3.11). □

4. Some Results on Curvature of Normal Complex Contact Metric Manifolds

Theorem 3. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold. For X, Y, Z, W horizontal vector fields we have*

$$g(R(GX, GY)GZ, GW) = g(R(HX, HY)HZ, HW) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W). \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. By using (2.22) in (2.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -2g(JZ,W)d\sigma(X,Y)+2g(HX,Y)d\sigma(GZ,W) \\ & +2g(JX,Y)d\sigma(Z,W)-2g(HZ,W)d\sigma(GX,Y) \\ = \; & -2g(JZ,W)\left(2g(JX,Y)+g((\bigtriangledown_U J)GX,Y)\right) \\ & +2g(HX,Y)\left(2g(JGZ,W)+g((\bigtriangledown_U J)G^2Z,W)\right) \\ & +2g(JX,Y)\left(2g(JZ,W)+g((\bigtriangledown_U J)GZ,W)\right) \\ & -2g(HZ,W)(2g(JgX,Y)+g((\bigtriangledown_U J)G^2X,Y)) \end{aligned}$$

Since $JG=-H$ and for X horizontal vector field $G^2X=-X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -2g(JZ,W)d\sigma(X,Y)+2g(HX,Y)d\sigma(GZ,W) \\ & +2g(JX,Y)d\sigma(Z,W)-2g(HZ,W)d\sigma(GX,Y)) \\ = \; & -2g(JZ,W)g((\bigtriangledown_U J)GX,Y)-2g(HX,Y)g((\bigtriangledown_U J)GZ,W) \\ & +2g(JX,Y)g((\bigtriangledown_U J)GZ,W)+2g(HZ,W)g((\bigtriangledown_U J)GX,Y)) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6) and by simply computation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -2g(JZ,W)d\sigma(X,Y)+2g(HX,Y)d\sigma(GZ,W) \\ & +2g(JX,Y)d\sigma(Z,W)-2g(HZ,W)d\sigma(GX,Y)=0 \end{aligned}$$

Considering (2.20) from last equation we obtained that

$$g(R(GX,GY)GZ,GW)=g(R(X,Y)Z,W)$$

By same way we can easily show that $g(R(HX,HY)HZ,HW)=g(R(X,Y)Z,W)$. So, the proof is completed. □

Theorem 4. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold and X,Y be arbitrary vector fields on M. Then the curvature of a normal complex contact metric manifold satisfies following equations.*

$$R(X,U)U=X_0-2d\sigma(U,V)v(X)V \tag{4.2}$$

$$R(X,V)V=X_0-2d\sigma(U,V)u(X)U \tag{4.3}$$

$$R(X,U)V=\sigma(U)GX_0+(\nabla_U H)\,X_0-JX_0+2d\sigma(U,V)v(X)U \tag{4.4}$$

$$R(X,V)U=-\sigma(V)HX_0+(\nabla_V G)\,X_0+JX_0+2d\sigma(U,V)u(X)V \tag{4.5}$$

$$R(U,V)X=JX_0+(u(x)V-v(X)U)2d\sigma(U,V) \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)U \; = \; & -u(X)Y_0+v(X)\left(\sigma(V)HY_0+(\nabla_V G)\,Y_0+JY_0\right) \\ & +u(Y)X_0+v(Y)\left(-\sigma\left(V\right)HX_0+(\nabla_V G)\,X_0+JX_0\right) \\ & +\left[2\left(g(X_0,JY_0)+d\sigma\left(X_0,Y_0\right)\right)\right. \\ & \left.+2d\sigma\left(U,V\right)u\wedge v(X,Y)\right]V \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(X,Y)V \; = \; & -u(X)\left(\sigma(U)GY_0+(\nabla_U H)\,Y_0-JY_0\right)-v(X)Y_0 \\ & u(Y)\left(-\sigma\left(U\right)GX_0+(\nabla_U H)\,X_0-JX_0\right)+v(Y)X_0+ \\ & \left[-2\left(g(X_0,JY_0)+d\sigma\left(X_0,Y_0\right)\right)\right. \\ & \left.-2d\sigma\left(U,V\right)u\wedge v(X,Y)\right]U \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.9)\quad R(X,U)Y &= u(Y)X_0 - v(X)JY_0 + v(Y)\left(\sigma(U)GX_0 + (\nabla_U H)X_0\right. \\
&\quad \left. -JX_0\right) + \left[-g(X_0,Y_0) - 2d\sigma(U,V)v(X)v(Y)\right]U \\
&\quad + \left[d\sigma(Y_0,X_0) - g(JX_0,Y_0)\right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2d\sigma(U,V)v(X)u(Y)\right]V
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.10)\quad R(X,V)Y &= u(X)JY_0 + v(Y)X_0 + u(Y)\left(-\sigma(U)HX_0 + (\nabla_V G)X_0\right. \\
&\quad \left. +JX_0\right) + \left[-g(X_0,Y_0) + u(X)u(Y)2d\sigma(U,V)\right]V \\
&\quad \left[-d\sigma(Y_0,X_0) + g(JX_0,Y_0)\right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2d\sigma(U,V)u(X)v(Y)\right]U
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V$ *and* $Y = Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$.

Proof. For $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V$ we have

$$R(X,U)U = R(X_0,U)U + u(X)R(U,U)U + v(X)R(V,U)U$$

and

$$R(X,V)V = R(X_0,U)V + u(X)R(U,V)V + v(X)R(V,V)V$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we get (4.2) and (4.3).

$$R(X,U)V = R(X_0,U)V + u(X)R(U,U)V + v(X)R(V,U)V$$

and

$$R(X,V)U = R(X_0,V)U + u(X)R(U,V)U + v(X)R(U,V)V$$

and

$$R(U,V)X = R(U,V)X_0 + u(X)R(U,V)U + v(X)R(U,V)V$$

from (2.12), (2.15) , (2.16) and (2.19) we get (4.4) ,(4.5) and (4.6).

For $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V$ and $Y = Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X,Y)U &= R(X_0,Y)U + u(X)R(U,Y)U + v(X)R(V,Y)U \\
&= R(X_0,Y_0)U + u(Y)R(X_0,U)U + v(Y)R(X_0,V)U \\
&\quad + u(X)R(U,Y)U + v(X)R(V,Y)U
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X,Y)V &= R(X_0,Y)V + u(X)R(U,Y)V + v(X)R(V,Y)V \\
&= R(X_0,Y_0)V + u(Y)R(X_0,U)V + v(Y)R(X_0,V)V \\
&\quad + u(X)R(U,Y)V + v(X)R(V,Y)V
\end{aligned}
$$

from (2.13) , (2.14) ,(4.2)(4.3) ,(4.4) and (4.5) we get (4.7) and (4.8). In addition

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X,U)Y &= R(X_0,U)Y + u(X)R(U,U)Y + v(X)R(V,U)Y \\
&= R(X_0,U)Y_0 + u(Y)R(X_0,U)U + v(Y)R(X_0,U)V \\
&\quad + v(X)R(V,U)Y
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X,V)Y &= R(X_0,V)Y + u(X)R(U,V)Y + v(X)R(V,V)Y \\
&= R(X_0,V)Y_0 + u(Y)R(X_0,V)U + v(Y)R(X_0,V)V \\
&\quad + u(X)R(U,V)Y
\end{aligned}
$$

from (2.17) , (2.18) and (4.6) we have (4.9) and (4.10). □

Corollary 2. *Let X, Y, Z be horizontal vector fields on M. Then the curvature of a normal complex contact metric manifold satisfies equations (2.12), (2.13), (2.14), (2.15), (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19).*

Theorem 5. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold . Then for arbitrary vector fields X and Y on M we have*

$$d\sigma(X,Y) = 2g(JX_0, Y_0) + g((\nabla_U J)GX_0, Y_0) + d\sigma(U,V)u \wedge v(X,Y). \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. For vector fields X and Y we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2d\sigma(X,Y) &= X\sigma(Y) - Y\sigma(X) - \sigma([X,Y]) \\ &= Xg(\nabla_Y U, V) - Yg(\nabla_X U, V) - g\left(\nabla_{[X,Y]}U, V\right) \\ &= g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y U, V) + g(\nabla_Y U, \nabla_X V) - g(\nabla_Y \nabla_X U, V) \\ &\quad -g(\nabla_X U, \nabla_Y V) - g\left(\nabla_{[X,Y]}U, V\right) \\ &= g(R(X,Y)U, V) + g(\nabla_Y U, \nabla_X V) - g(\nabla_X U, \nabla_Y V) \end{aligned}$$

from (2.7) and since $HG = -GH = J + u \otimes V - v \otimes U$ we have

$$2d\sigma(X,Y) = g(R(X,Y)U, V) + 2g(JX, Y) + 2u \wedge v(X,Y)$$

In addition from (4.7) and for $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V$, $Y = Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$ we get

$$g(R(X,Y)U, V) = 2\left(g(X_0, JY_0) + d\sigma(X_0, Y_0)\right) + 2d\sigma(U,V)u \wedge v(X,Y)$$

and since

$$g(JX, Y) = g(JX_0, Y_0) - u \wedge v(X,Y)$$

we obtained

$$d\sigma(X,Y) = 2g(JX_0, Y_0) + g((\nabla_U J)GX_0, Y_0) + d\sigma(U,V)u \wedge v(X,Y).$$

□

Theorem 6. *Let M be a complex contact metric manifold. Then M is normal if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X G)Y &= \sigma(X)HY - 2v(X)JY - u(Y)X \\ &\quad -v(Y)JX + v(X)(2JY_0 - (\nabla_U J)GY_0) \\ &\quad +g(X,Y)U + g(JX,Y)V \\ &\quad -d\sigma(U,V)v(X)(u(Y)V - v(Y)U) \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X H)Y &= -\sigma(X)GY + 2u(X)JY + u(Y)JX \\ &\quad -v(Y)X + u(X)(-2JY_0 - (\nabla_U J)GY_0) \\ &\quad -g(JX,Y)U + g(X,Y)V \\ &\quad +d\sigma(U,V)u(X)(u(Y)V - v(Y)U). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Suppose that M is a normal complex contact metric manifold. Then from (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X G)Y, Z) &= g(\sigma(X)HY - 2v(X)JY - u(Y)X - v(Y)JX \\ &\quad +g(X,Y)U + g(X, JY)V, Z) + v(X)d\sigma(GZ, GY). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g\left((\nabla_X H)Y,Z\right) &= g\left(-\sigma(X)GY+2u(X)JY+u\left(Y\right)JX-v\left(Y\right)X\right. \\ &\quad \left.-g\left(JX,Y\right)U+g\left(X,Y\right)V,Z\right)-u(X)d\sigma\left(HZ,HY\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u\wedge v(Y,Z)=g\left(u(Y)\,V-v(Y)U,Z\right)$ and from (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g\left((\nabla_X G)Y,Z\right) &= g\left(\sigma(X)HY-2v(X)JY-u\left(Y\right)X-v\left(Y\right)JX\right. \\ &\quad +g\left(X,Y\right)U+g\left(X,JY\right)V,Z\big) \\ &\quad v\left(X\right)\left[d\sigma(Y,Z)-2d\sigma\left(U,V\right)g\left(u(Y)V-v\left(Y\right)U,Z\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g\left((\nabla_X H)Y,Z\right) &= g\left(-\sigma(X)GY+2u(X)JY-u\left(Y\right)JX-v\left(Y\right)X\right. \\ &\quad -g\left(JX,Y\right)U+g\left(X,Y\right)V,Z\big) \\ &\quad +u(X)\left[d\sigma(Y,Z)-2d\sigma\left(U,V\right)g\left(u(Y)V-v\left(Y\right)U,Z\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.11) we can write

$$d\sigma\left(Y,Z\right)=g(2JY_0+(\bigtriangledown_U J)\,GY_0+d\sigma\left(U,V\right)(u(Y)V-v\left(Y\right)U),Z).$$

By using this equation we obtain (4.12) and (4.13).

Conversely suppose that (4.12) and (4.13) hold. For arbitrary vector field X and from (2.2), (2.3) we have

$$S(X,U)=(\nabla_{GX}G)U-G(\nabla_X G)U+G(\nabla_U G)X-\sigma\left(U\right)GHX$$

$$T(X,V)=(\nabla_{HX}H)V-H(\nabla_X H)V+H(\nabla_V H)X-\sigma\left(V\right)GHX$$

From (4.12) and (4.13) we get $S(X,U)=T(X,V)=0$.

Now let X and Y be two horizontal vector fields. Since $u\left(X\right)=u(Y)=v\left(X\right)=v(Y)=0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(X,Y) &= (\nabla_{GX}G)Y-(\nabla_{GY}G)X-G(\nabla_X G)Y+G(\nabla_Y G)X \\ &\quad +2g(X,GY)U-2g(X,HY)V+\sigma(GY)HX \\ &\quad -\sigma(GX)HY+\sigma(X)GHY-\sigma(Y)GHX \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T(X,Y) &= (\nabla_{HX}H)Y-(\nabla_{HY}H)X-H(\nabla_X H)Y+H(\nabla_Y H)X \\ &\quad -2g(X,GY)U+2g(X,HY)V+\sigma(HX)GY \\ &\quad -\sigma(HY)GX+\sigma(X)GHY-\sigma(Y)GHX. \end{aligned}$$

By aplying (4.12) and (4.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(X,Y) &= \sigma(GX)HY-\sigma(GY)HX-2g(X,GY)U \\ &\quad +2g(X,HY)V-\sigma(X)GHY+\sigma(Y)GHX \\ &\quad +2g(X,GY)U-2g(X,HY)V+\sigma(GY)HX \\ &\quad -\sigma(GX)HY+\sigma(X)GHY-\sigma(Y)GHX \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T(X,Y) &= -\sigma(HX)GY+\sigma(HY)GX+\sigma(X)HGY \\ &\quad -\sigma(Y)HGX+2g(X,GY)U-2g(X,HY)V \\ &\quad -2g(X,GY)U+2g(X,HY)V+\sigma(HX)GY \\ &\quad -\sigma(HY)GX+\sigma(X)GHY-\sigma(Y)GHX \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore M is normal. □

Using (2.6), (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) we obtained following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold and X,Y be two arbitrary vector fields on M. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X J)Y &= -2u(X)\,HY+2v(X)GY+u(X)\left(2HY_0+(\nabla_U J)\,Y_0\right) \\ &\quad +v(X)\left(-2GY_0+(\nabla_U J)\,JY_0\right). \end{aligned}$$

5. Some Properties of Ricci Curvature for Normal Complex Contact Metric Manifolds

Theorem 7. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold and X,Y be horizontal vector fields on M. Then we have*

$$\rho(GX,GY)=\rho(HX,HY)=\rho(X,Y) \tag{5.1}$$

$$\rho(GX,Y)=-\rho(X,GY),\quad \rho(HX,Y)=-\rho(X,HY). \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Let us choose a local orthonormal basis of the form

$$\{X_i, GX_i, HX_i, JX_i, U, V : 1\le i\le n\}.$$

Then the Ricci tensor has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X,Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_i,X)Y,X_i)+g(R(GX_i,X)Y,GX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(HX_i,X)Y,HX_i)+g(R(JX_i,X)Y,JX_i)] \\ &\quad +g(R(U,X)Y,U)+g(R(V,X)Y,V). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Therefore from (5.3) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(GX,GY) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_i,GX)GY,X_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(GX_i,GX)GY,GX_i)+g(R(HX_i,GX)GY,HX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(JX_i,GX)GY,JX_i)]+g(R(U,GX)GY,U) \\ &\quad +g(R(V,GX)GY,V) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

From (4.1) we have

$$g(R(X_i,GX)GY,X_i)=g(R(GX_i,GGX)GGY,GX_i)=(g(R(GX_i,X)Y,GX_i)$$

$$g(R(GX_i,GX)GY,GX_i)=g(R(X_i,X)Y,X_i)$$

$$g(R(HX_i,GX)GY,HX_i)=g(R(GJX_i,GX)GY,GJX_i)=g(R(JX_i,X)Y,JX_i)$$

$$g(R(JX_i,GX)GY,JX_i)=g(R(-GHX_i,GX)GY,-GHX_i)=g(R(HX_i,X)Y,HX_i).$$

From (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(U,GX)GY,U) &= g(R(GY,U)U,GX) \\ &= g(GY,GX) \\ &= g(Y,X) \\ &= g(R(Y,U)U,X) \\ &= g(R(U,X)Y,U) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(R(V,GX)GY,V) = g(X,Y) = g(R(V,X)Y,V).$$

Using these equations in (5.3) we showed that $\rho(GX,GY) = \rho(X,Y)$. Similarly from (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5)\quad \rho(HX,HY) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_{i,}HX)HY,X_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(GX_i,HX)HY,GX_i)+g(R(HX_i,HX)HY,HX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(JX_i,HX)HY,JX_i)]+g(R(U,HX)HY,U) \\ &\quad +g(R(V,HX)HY,V). \end{aligned}$$

and from (4.1) we get

$$g(R(X_i,HX)HY,X_i) = g(R(HX_{i,}HHX)HHY,HX_i) = (g(R(HX_{i,}X)Y,HX_i)$$

$$g(R(HX_i,HX)HY,HX_i) = g(R(X_i,X)Y,X_i)$$

$$g(R(GX_i,HX)HY,GX_i) = g(R(-HJX_i,HX)HY,-HJX_i) = g(R(JX_i,X)Y,JX_i)$$

$$g(R(JX_i,HX)HY,JX_i) = g(R(HGX_i,HX)HY,HGX_i) = g(R(GX_i,X)Y,GX_i).$$

From (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(U,HX)HY,U) &= g(R(U,X)Y,U) \\ g(R(V,HX)HY,V) &= g(R(V,X)Y,V). \end{aligned}$$

So using these equations in (5.3) we get $\rho(HX,HY) = \rho(X,Y)$. From (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(GX,Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_{i,}GX)Y,X_i)+g(R(GX_i,GX)Y,GX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(HX_i,GX)Y,HX_i)+g(R(JX_i,GX)Y,JX_i)] \\ &\quad +g(R(U,GX)Y,U)+g(R(V,GX)Y,V) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(HX,Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_{i,}HX)Y,X_i)+g(R(GX_i,HX)Y,GX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(HX_i,HX)Y,HX_i)+g(R(JX_i,HX)Y,JX_i)] \\ &\quad +g(R(U,HX)Y,U)+g(R(V,HX)Y,V) \end{aligned}$$

From (4.1) and (2.12) we obtain

$$\rho(GX,Y) = -\rho(X,GY) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(HX,Y) = -\rho(X,HY).$$

□

Theorem 8. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold. For any X horizontal vector filed and $U, V = -JU$ vertical vector fields on M the Ricci curvature tensor satisfies*

$$\rho(X,U) = \rho(X,V) = 0 \tag{5.6}$$

$$\rho(U,U) = \rho(V,V) = 4n - 2d\sigma(U,V), \quad \rho(U,V) = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. For any X horizontal vector field and $U, V = -JU$ vertical vector fields on M from (5.3) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X,U) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_{i,}X)U,X_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(GX_i,X)U,GX_i)+g(R(HX_i,X)U,HX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(JX_i,X)U,JX_i)]+g(R(U,X)U,U) \\ &\quad +g(R(V,X)U,V) \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X,V) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_{i,}X)V,X_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(GX_i,X)V,GX_i)+g(R(HX_i,X)V,HX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(JX_i,X)V,JX_i)]+g(R(U,X)V,U) \\ &\quad +g(R(V,X)V,V) \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Since $X_{i,}$ X are horizontal vector fields on M from (2.13) and (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X_{i,}X)U,X_i) &= g(2(g(X_{i,},JX)+d\sigma(X_{i,},X))V,X_{i,}) \\ &= 2(g(X_{i,},JX)+d\sigma(X_{i,},X))g(V,X_i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X_{i,}X)V,X_i) &= g(-2(g(X_{i,},JX)+d\sigma(X_{i,},X))U,X_{i,}) \\ &= -2(g(X_{i,},JX)+d\sigma(X_{i,},X)g(U,X_i) \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(U,X_i) = g(V,X_i) = 0$ we get

$$g(R(X_{i,}X)U,X_i) = 0 \text{ and } g(R(X_{i,}X)V,X_i) = 0$$

By the same way and since $g(U,X_i) = g(U,GX_i) = g(U,HX_i) = g(U,JX_i) = g(V,X_i) = g(V,GX_i) = g(V,HX_i) = g(V,JX_i) = 0$ we have

$$g(R(GX_i,X)U,GX_i) = g(R(HX_i,X)U,HX_i) = g(R(JX_i,X)U,JX_i) = 0$$

and

$$g(R(GX_i,X)V,GX_i) = g(R(HX_i,X)V,HX_i) = g(R(JX_i,X)V,JX_i) = 0.$$

On the other hand using (2.12),(2.16) and (2.17) we have

$$g(R(U,X)U,U) = -g(R(X,U)U,U) = -g(X,U) = 0,$$

$$g(R(V,X)V,V) = -g(R(X,V)V,V) = -g(X,V) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(V,X)U,V). &= -g(R(X,V)U,V) \\ &= -g(-\sigma(V)HX+(\nabla_V G)X+JX,V) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(U,X)V,U) &= -g(R(X,U)V,U) \\ &= -g(\sigma(U)GX + (\bigtriangledown_U H)X - JX, U) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using these equations in (5.8) and (5.9) we get (5.6). On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(U,U) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_i,U)U,X_i) + g(R(GX_i,U)U,GX_i) + g(R(HX_i,U)U,HX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(JX_i,U)U,JX_i)] + g(R(U,U)U,U) + g(R(V,U)U,V) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(V,V) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[g(R(X_i,V)V,X_i) + g(R(GX_i,V)V,GX_i) + g(R(HX_i,V)V,HX_i) \\ &\quad +g(R(JX_i,V)V,JX_i)] + g(R(U,V)V,U) + g(R(V,V)V,V) \end{aligned}$$

from (2.11), (2.12) and by direct computation we get (5.7). □

Corollary 4. *For arbitrary X vector field on normal complex contact metric manifold M we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X,U) &= (4n - 2d\sigma(U,V))\, u(X) \\ \rho(X,V) &= (4n - 2d\sigma(U,V))\, v(X). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. For a $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V$ vector field we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X,U) &= \rho(X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V, U) \\ &= \rho(X_0,U) + u(X)\rho(U,U) + v(X)\rho(V,U) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X,V) &= \rho(X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V, V) \\ &= \rho(X_0,V) + u(X)\rho(U,V) + v(X)\rho(V,V) \end{aligned}$$

from (5.6) and (5.7) we finished the proof. □

Corollary 5. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold and X, Y be two arbitrary vector fields on M. Then Ricci curvature tensor satisfies*

$$\rho(X,Y) = \rho(X_0,Y_0) + (4n - 2d\sigma(U,V))\,(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y)) \tag{5.11}$$

wehere $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V,\ Y = Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$ where X_0 and Y_0 are in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. For $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V,\ Y = Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$ vector fields on M we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X,Y) &= \rho(X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V, Y) \\ &= \rho(X_0,Y) + u(X)\,\rho(U,Y) + v(X)\rho(V,Y) \end{aligned}$$

and from (5.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X,Y) &= \rho(X_0, Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V) + u(X)u(Y)\,(4n - 2d\sigma(U,V)) \\ &\quad +v(X)v(Y)\,(4n - 2d\sigma(U,V)). \end{aligned}$$

By using (5.6) and (5.7) we obtain

$$\rho(X,Y) = \rho(X_0,Y_0) + (4n - 2d\sigma(U,V))\,(u(X)u(Y) + v(x)v(Y)).$$

□

Corollary 6. *Let M be a normal complex contact metric manifold and X, Y be two arbitrary vector fields on M. Then Ricci curvature tensor satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(X,Y) &= \rho(GX,GY) + (4n - 2d\sigma(U,V))\,(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y))\,,\\ \rho(X,Y) &= \rho(HX,HY) + (4n - 2d\sigma(U,V))\,(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y))\,.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $X = X_0 + u(X)U + v(X)V$, $Y = Y_0 + u(Y)U + v(Y)V$ since $GX = GX_0$, $GY = GY_0$ and $HX = HX_0, HY = HY_0$ then

$$\rho(GX,GY) = \rho(GX_0,GY_0) \text{ and } \rho(HX,HY) = \rho(HX_0,HY_0)\ .$$

By using (5.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(X,Y) &= \rho(GX,GY) + (4n - 2d\sigma(U,V))\,(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y))\,,\\ \rho(X,Y) &= \rho(HX,HY) + (4n - 2d\sigma(U,V))\,(u(X)u(Y) + v(X)v(Y))\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 7. *On a normal complex contact metric manifold M, for Q Ricci operator we have*

$$QG = GQ, \quad QH = HQ.$$

Proof. For X, Y vector fields on M we have

$$\rho\,(GX,Y) = g(GX,QY) = -g(X,GQY)$$

and

$$\rho\,(X,GY) = g(X,QGY)$$

from (5.2) we get

$$g(X,GQY) = g(X,QGY)$$

and $QG = GQ$. By same way one can show that $QH = HQ$. □

6. **Example of a Normal Complex Contact Metric Manifolds**

The complex Heisenberg group is the closed subgroup $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $GL(3,\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$H_{\mathbb{C}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : b_{12}, b_{13}, b_{23} \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$$

Blair defined the following complex contact metric structure on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ in [1] Let z_1, z_2, z_3be the coordinates on $H_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$ defined by $z_1(B) = b_{23}, z_2(B) = b_{12}, z_3(B) = b_{13}$ for B in $H_{\mathbb{C}}$. Here $H_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}\,(dz_3 - z_2 dz_1)$ is global, so the structure tensors may be taken globally. With J denoting the standard almost complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^3$, we may give a complex almost contact structure to $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ as follows. Since θ is holomorphic, set $\theta = u + iv$, $v = u \circ J$; also set $4\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} = U + iV$. Then $u(X) = g(U,X)$ and $v(X) = g(V,X)$. Since we will work in real coordinates, G and H are given by

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_2 & x_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_2 & x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & y_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then relative to the coordinates $(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3)$ the Hermitian metric (matrix)

$$g = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} 1+x_2^2+y_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 & y_2 \\ 0 & 1+x_2^2+y_2^2 & 0 & 0 & y_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 & y_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ y_2 & x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in addition $\{e_1; e_1^*; e_2; e_2^*; e_3; e_3^*\}$ is an orthonormal basis where

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}\right), \\ e_1^* &= 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}\right) \\ e_2 &= 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \quad , e_2^* = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \\ e_3 &= U = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \quad , \ e_3^* = V = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Furthermore we have [20]

$$\begin{aligned} Ge_1 &= -e_2,\ Ge_1^* = e_2^*,\ Ge_2 = e_1,\ Ge_2^* = -e_1^* \\ He_1 &= -e_2^*,\ He_1^* = -e_2,\ He_2 = e_1^*,\ He_2^* = -e_1 \\ Je_1 &= -e_1^*,\ Je_1^* = e_1,\ Je_2 = -e_2^*,\ Je_2^* = -e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bigtriangledown$ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to metric g. Then from (6.1) we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = -2e_3,\ [e_1, e_2^*] = -2e_3^*,\ [e_1^*, e_2] = -2e_3^*,\ [e_1^*, e_2^*] = 2e_3 \tag{6.2}$$

and the other Lie brackets are zero [20]. In addition we have

$$2g(\bigtriangledown_{e_i} e_j, e_k) = g\left([e_i, e_j], e_k\right) + g\left([e_k, e_i], e_j\right) - g\left([e_j, e_k], e_i\right)$$

and from that we obtain

$$\bigtriangledown_{e_j} e_j = \bigtriangledown_{e_j} e_j = \bigtriangledown_{e_j} e_{j^*} = \bigtriangledown_{e_j^*} e_j^* = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

where $j = 1, 2, 3$. From (6.2) and (6.3) we need only list following

$$\begin{aligned} \bigtriangledown_{e_2} e_3 &= \bigtriangledown_{e_2^*} e_3^* = -e_1 \ \bigtriangledown_{e_2^*} e_3 = -\bigtriangledown_{e_2} e_3^* = e_1^* \\ \bigtriangledown_{e_1} e_3 &= \bigtriangledown_{e_1^*} e_3^* = e_2 \ \bigtriangledown_{e_1} e_3^* = -\bigtriangledown_{e_1^*} e_3 = e_2^* \\ -\bigtriangledown_{e_1} e_2 &= \bigtriangledown_{e_1^*} e_2^* = e_3 \quad \bigtriangledown_{e_1} e_2^* = \bigtriangledown_{e_1^*} e_2 = -e_3^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \ \gamma_k = m_k + in_k,\ m_k,\ n_k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Γ is subgroup of $H_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$, the 1-form $dz_3 - z_2 dz_1$ is invariant under the action on Γ and with $\xi = U \wedge V$, hence the quotient $H_{\mathbb{C}}/\Gamma$ is a compact complex contact manifold with a global complex contact form. $H_{\mathbb{C}}/\Gamma$ is known the *Iwasawa manifold.*

It is known that with the help of the above results , it can be easily verified that

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R(e_1,e_1^*)e_1 = 0 & R(e_1,e_2)e_1 = 3e_2 & R(e_1,e_2^*)e_1 = 3e_2 & R(e_1^*,e_2)e_1 = e_2^* \\ R(e_1,e_1^*)e_1^* = 0 & R(e_1,e_2)e_1^* = -e_2^* & R(e_1,e_2^*)e_1^* = 0 & R(e_1^*,e_2)e_1^* = 2e_2 \\ R(e_1,e_1^*)e_2 = -2e_2^* & R(e_1,e_2)e_2 = -3e_1 & R(e_1,e_2^*)e_2 = -e_2^* & R(e_1^*,e_2)e_2 = -3e_1^* \\ R(e_1,e_1^*)e_2^* = 2e_2 & R(e_1,e_2)e_2^* = e_1^* & R(e_1,e_2^*)e_2^* = -3e_1 & R(e_1^*,e_2)e_2^* = 3e_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} R(e_1^*,e_2^*)e_1 = -e_2 & R(e_2,e_2^*)e_1 = -2e_1^* \\ R(e_1^*,e_2^*)e_1^* = e_2^* & R(e_2,e_2^*)e_1^* = 2e_1 \\ R(e_1^*,e_2^*)e_2 = e_1 & R(e_2,e_2^*)e_2 = 0 \\ R(e_1^*,e_2^*)e_2^* = -3e_1^* & R(e_2,e_2^*)e_2^* = 0\ . \end{array}$$

From (2.8) and since $\sigma = 0$ [19] $R(e_3,e_3^*)e_3^* = 0$ and we have $R(X,U)U = X$ and $R(X,V)V = X$ for $X \in \mathcal{H}$. Similarly from $\sigma = 0$, (2.15) and (2.16) we get

$$\begin{array}{cc} R(e_1,e_3)e_3^* = -e_1^* & R(e_1,e_3^*)e_3 = e_1^* \\ R(e_1^*,e_3^*)e_3 = 3e_1 & R(e_1^*,e_3^*)e_3 = -e_1 \\ R(e_2,e_3)e_3^* = -e_2^* & R(e_2,e_3^*)e_3 = e_2^* \\ R(e_2^*,e_3^*)e_3 = e_2 & R(e_2^*,e_3^*)e_3 = -3e_2 \end{array}$$

and from (2.13),(2.14) we get

$$\begin{array}{c} R(e_1,e_1^*)e_3 = R(e_1,e_2)e_3 = R(e_1,e_2^*)e_3 = 0 \\ R(e_1^*,e_2)e_3 = R(e_1^*,e_2^*)e_3 = R(e_2,e_2^*)e_3 = 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} R(e_1,e_1^*)e_3^* = R(e_1,e_2)e_3^* = R(e_1,e_2^*)e_3^* = 0 \\ R(e_1^*,e_2)e_3^* = R(e_1^*,e_2^*)e_3^* = R(e_2,e_2^*)e_3^* = 0\ . \end{array}$$

Using these equations and from (5.2) Ricci curvature is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\,(e_i,e_i) &= \rho\,(e_i^*,e_i^*) = 4,\ i = 1,2,3 \\ \rho\,(e_i,e_j) &= \rho\left(e_i^*,e_j^*\right) = 0,\ j = 1,2,3. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation the scalar curvature of Iwasava manifold is $\tau = 24$. Furthermore from curvature equalities the sectional curvature is

$$\begin{aligned} k(e_1,e_3) &= k(e_1^*,e_3) = k(e_2,e_3) = k(e_2^*,e_3) = 1, \\ k(e_1,e_3^*) &= k(e_1^*,e_3^*) = k(e_2,e_3^*) = k(e_2^*,e_3^*) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and since $\sigma = 0$

$$k(e_3,e_3^*) = 0.$$

In addition can be easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} k(e_1,e_1^*) &= k(e_1,e_2^*) = k(e_1^*,e_2) = k(e_2,e_2^*) = 0 \\ k(e_1,e_2) &= 3 \text{ and } k(e_1^*,e_2^*) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

With these result the holomorphic curvature of the Iwasawa manifold $K(X,JX) = 0$.

References

[1] C. Baikoussis, D. Blair and F. Gouli-Andreou, Holomorphic Legendre curves in the complex Heisenberg group, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica v.26 , 179-194 (1998).

[2] Blair, D. E., Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, 2nd edn. Birkhäuser,Boston (2010).

[3] Blair, D. E and Molina,V.M , Bochner and conformal flatness on normal complex contact metric manifolds, Ann Glob Anal Geom v.39, 249–258 (2011) .

[4] Blair, D. E and Mihai, A. Symmetry in complex Contact Geometry, Rocky Mountain J. Math. v.42, (2),451-465 (2012).

[5] Blair, D. E and Mihai A., Homogeneity and local symmetry of complex (κ, μ)-spaces, Israel J. Math. v.187 , 451-464 (2012).

[6] Blair, D. E. and Turgut Vanli, A., Corrected Energy of Distributions for 3-Sasakian and Normal Complex Contact Manifolds, , Osaka J. Math 43 , 193–200 (2006).

[7] Boothby, W. M., *Homogeneous complex contact manifolds*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. III, Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. III pp. 144–154 (1961).

[8] Boothby, W. M., *A note on homogeneous complex contact manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math.Soc. v.10, 276 280 (1962).

[9] Fetcu, D., *An adapted connection on a strict complex contact manifold*. Proceedings of the 5th Conference of Balkan Society of Geometers, 54–61, BSG Proc., 13, Geom. Balkan Press, Bucharest, 2006.

[10] Fetcu, D. *Harmonic maps between complex Sasakian manifolds*. Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 64 (2006), no. 3, 319–329.

[11] Foreman, B., *Variational problems on complex contact manifolds with applications to twister space theory*, Ph. Thesis, Michigan State University (1996).

[12] Foreman, B., *Three-dimensional complex homogeneous complex contact manifolds*. Balkan J. Geom. Appl. v.4, (1), 53–67 (1999).

[13] Foreman, B., *Boothby–Wang fibrations on complex contact manifolds*, Differential Geometry and its Applications v.13, 179–196 (2000).

[14] Foreman, B., *Complex contact manifolds and hyperkähler geometry*, Kodai Math. J. v. 23 (1), 12–26 (2000).

[15] Ishihara, S. and Konishi, M., *Real contact 3-structure and complex contact structure*, Southeast Asian Bull. of Math., v.3, 151-161 (1979).

[16] Ishihara, S.and Konishi, M., *Complex almost contact manifolds*, Kodai Math. J. v.3, 385–396 (1980).

[17] Ishihara, S. and Konishi, M., *Complex almost contact structures in a complex contact manifold*, Kodai Math. J. v.5, 30–37 (1982).

[18] Kobayashi, S., *Remarks on complex contact manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. v.10, 164–167 (1959).

[19] Korkmaz, B., *Normality of complex contact manifolds*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. v.30, 1343–1380 (2000).

[20] Turgut Vanli, A. and Blair, D. E., *The Boothby-Wang Fibration of the Iwasawa Manifold as a Critical Point of the Energy,* Monatsh. Math. v.147, 75–84 (2006).

[21] Wolf, J. A., *Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Mech. v.14, 1033-1047 (1965).

Departments of Mathematics , Gazi University.
E-mail address: a.vanli@gazi.edu.tr

Departments of Computer Engineering, Tunceli Universty
E-mail address: inanunal@tunceli.edu.tr